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|  | 1 | **Lab 13 – Code Refactoring: Improving Legacy Code with AI Suggestions**  **Lab Objectives**   * To introduce the concept of code refactoring and why it matters (readability, maintainability, performance). * To practice using AI tools for identifying and suggesting improvements in legacy code. * To evaluate the before vs. after versions for clarity, performance, and correctness. * To reinforce responsible AI-assisted coding practices (avoiding over-reliance, validating outputs).   **Learning Outcomes**  After completing this lab, students will be able to:   1. Use AI to analyze and refactor poorly written Python code. 2. Improve code **readability, efficiency, and error handling**. 3. Document AI-suggested improvements through comments and explanations. 4. Apply refactoring strategies without changing functionality. 5. Critically reflect on AI’s refactoring suggestions.   **Task Description #1 – Remove Repetition**  Task: Provide AI with the following redundant code and ask it to refactor  **Python Code**  def calculate\_area(shape, x, y=0):  if shape == "rectangle":  return x \* y  elif shape == "square":  return x \* x  elif shape == "circle":  return 3.14 \* x \* x  **RE-FACTORED CODE:**    **Expected Output**   * Refactored version with dictionary-based dispatch or separate functions. * Cleaner and modular design.   **OUTPUT:**    **Task Description #2 – Error Handling in Legacy Code**  Task: Legacy function without proper error handling  **Python Code**  def read\_file(filename):  f = open(filename, "r")  data = f.read()  f.close()  return data  **RE-FACTORED CODE:**      **OTHER TEXT FILE WE NEED TO CREATE:**    **Expected Output:**  AI refactors with with open() and try-except:  **OUTPUT:**    **Task Description #3 – Complex Refactoring**  Task: Provide this legacy class to AI for readability and modularity improvements:  **Python Code**  class Student:  def \_\_init\_\_(self, n, a, m1, m2, m3):  self.n = n  self.a = a  self.m1 = m1  self.m2 = m2  self.m3 = m3  def details(self):  print("Name:", self.n, "Age:", self.a)  def total(self):  return self.m1+self.m2+self.m3  **RE-FACTORED CODE:**    **Expected Output:**   * AI improves naming (name, age, marks). * Adds docstrings. * Improves print readability. * Possibly uses sum(self.marks) if marks stored in a list.   **OUTPUT:**    **Task Description #4 – Inefficient Loop Refactoring**  Task: Refactor this inefficient loop with AI help  **Python Code**  nums = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]  squares = []  for i in nums:  squares.append(i \* i)  **RE-FACTORED CODE:**    **Expected Output:** AI suggested a **list comprehension**  **OUTPUT:** | | | | | | Week5 - Monday |  |